

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,709	04/11/2001	Karla E. Williams	460.2050USU	1658
7590 09904/2008 Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. One Landmark Square, 10th Floor Stamford, CT 06901-2682			EXAMINER	
			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 09/832,709 WILLIAMS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jacqueline F. Stephens 3761 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2007</u>. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,20-23,26 and 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,20-23,26,27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/08

Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-946).

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper Nc(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/832,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 20-23, 26, and 27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Hirschman USPN 3690321 in view of Bernardin et al. USPN 3830237. Hirschman

Application/Control Number: 09/832,709

Art Unit: 3761

teaches a tampon having an inner layer 14 having one or more malodor counteractant materials incorporated therein (col. 2, lines 35-37); and at least one outer layer 11/12 that provides a cross-pad configuration to the fibrous tampon (Figures 1, 3, and 5). The one or more malodor counteractant materials is selected from the claimed materials (col, 2, lines 35-37, specifically deodorizing active or masking agent). Hirschman does not teach the malodor counteractant contains ascorbic acid or its derivatives. Hirschman teaches the deodorizing agent is in a viscous form (col. 2, line 39).

Hirschman does not teach the specific amount of deodorizing agent present in the tampon. Bernardin teaches a scented tampon having an amount of malodor counteract material wiiithin the claimed range (col. 3, lines 3-15). Bernardin teaches this amount of maldoro counteractant materials is sufficient to be effective. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the amount of malodor counteractant material in the invention of Hirschman with the amount as taught in Bernardin. Doing so would provide enough scenting material to be effective while reducing the amount of scenting material needed and avoids the danger of leaving any concentrated scenting material on the tampon where it might inadverntently contact the user (Bernardin col. 1, lines 40-50).

5. Claims 3, 6, 7, 20-23, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirschman USPN 3690321 in view of Bernardin as applied to claim 1 above in view of Petrus et al. USPN 5417224. Hirschman teaches the present invention substantially as claimed. However, Hirschman does not teach the malodor

Application/Control Number: 09/832,709

Art Unit: 3761

counteractant material is glycerin. Petrus discloses a tampon having glycerin and pectin as a malodor counteractant material (col. 6, lines 37-52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modifiy the malodor counteractant material of Hirschman with glyercen as taught in Petrus. Petrus discloses the glycerin as a lubricant, however it is additionally capable of absorbing odors as taught in Yabrov (col. 4, lines 41-43). Additionally it is old and well known that glycerin and pectin are natural substances.

#### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,709

Art Unit: 3761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jacqueline F Stephens/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761